

(This is for part (b)) ~~Source~~ Source 2 holds a certain degree of weight into an enquiry for the Terror of 1793-94, culminating in Robespierre's execution on 28th July 1794.

The source comes from Thibaudet, formerly a member of the Committee of Public Safety. This ~~lead~~ implies the source may be somewhat subjective, as the author would want to condemn the Terror and disassociate themselves with it. This is shown when he says the Terror "did more harm than good", ~~as many~~ therefore he recognises the Terror as ~~damned~~ damaging to the Republic as he further describes the executions of the Girondins and their expulsion on June 2 1793, when 80000 surrounded the ~~a~~ Convention. This therefore shows that the source holds some objective weight, however it also lacks specific detail and uses ^{similes} ~~metaphors~~ such as "like gods who hurl thunderbolts", showing the source should be viewed with caution as ~~the~~ Thibaudet's account seems to be emphasised for dramatic effect, potentially to increase the excitement and appeal for his book (from which the source comes). The source also correctly identifies the ~~murder~~ murder of Marat by Charlotte Corday and offers an interesting point that had Marat not been murdered, he himself would have "been guillotined", therefore increasing its weight as it offers an insight ~~&~~ into the feeling of a politician close to the centre of the Terror (as he was a



(This is for part (b)) member of the Committee of Public Safety
and the Convention), however this view is subjective and
must be viewed with caution.

